

To be read in conjunction in Annotated Bibliography of Static-99 Replications, and the Static-99 Replications Descriptive Information Table. In some studies, several effect sizes were reported. This table summarizes the most inclusive effect sizes (e.g., full sample, re-arrests instead of reconvictions). This table does not include effect sizes for the outcome of non-sexually violent recidivism.

Static-99 Replications: Effect Sizes for Violent and Any Recidivism

Study	Ave. Follow-Up	Violent (including Sexual) Recidivism						Any Recidivism					
		N recid	Total N	Base Rate (%)	Statistic Reported	Effect Size	<i>d</i>	N recid	Total N	Base Rate (%)	Statistic Reported	Effect Size	<i>d</i>
Austin et al. (2003) 1	3.5							65	356	18.2	Means (SD)		.43
Austin et al. (2003) 2	3.5							14	194	7.2	Means (SD)		.53
Bartosh et al. (2003)	4.0	46	186	24.7	ROC	.73	.85	103	186	55.4	ROC	.69	.70
Bengtson & Långström (2007)	16.4	175	336	52.1	Means (SD)		.51	220	336	65.5	Means (SD)		.44
Bigras (2007) & Proulx (2004)	4.5	80	477	16.8	ROC	.64	.50	131	583	22.5	ROC	.63	.47
Boer (2003)	12.6	70	229	30.6	ROC	.69	.71						
Bonta & Yessine (2005)	3.6	36	121	29.8	Raw data		.43	56	121	46.3	Raw data		.30
Bright et al. (2007)	3.9	11	117	9.4	Means (SD)		.74						
Caperton (2005)	2.0	104	1,941	5.4	ROC	.59	.32	398	1,941	20.5	ROC	.58	.28
Cortoni & Nunes (2007)	2.0	31	484	6.4	ROC	.77	1.04	74	484	15.3	ROC	.77	1.04

Craig et al. (2006)	5.0	18	85	21.2	ROC	.62	.43	24	85	28.2	ROC	.58	.29
Craissati et al. (2008)	9.2							105	218	48.2	Frequencies		.62
Crassati et al. (2005)	1.4	15	231	6.5	Frequencies		.67	49	231	21.2	Frequencies		.60
de Vogel et al. (2004)	11.7							89	121	73.6	ROC	.57	.25
Dempsey (2002)								18	51	35.3	Means (SD)		.52
Ducro & Pham (2006)	4.2							49	147	33.3	ROC	.70	.72
Dufresne (2005)	3.7	21	185	11.4	Significance		.34	34	185	18.4	Significance		.32
Friendship et al. (2003)	4.8	185	2,557	7.2	ROC	.70	.72	401	2,557	15.7	Means (SD)		.69
Hanson (2002)	2.7	41	177	23.2	Raw data		.68	78	177	44.1	Raw data		.70
Hanson et al. (2007)	3.4	133	972	13.7	ROC	.71	.78	188	972	19.3	ROC	.70	.74
Harris et al. (2003)	5.2	186	396	4.7	ROC	.63	.47						
Hill et al. (in press)	10.7	33	90	36.7	Frequencies		.32						
Hills (2003)	1.7							5	12	41.7	<i>t / F</i>		-.49
Hood et al. (2002)	4.0	15	162	9.2	Frequencies		1.10						
Hudson (2003) 1	2.0	10	176	5.7	Frequencies		.41	28	176	15.9	Frequencies		.50
Hudson (2003) 2	2.0							4	32	12.5	Frequencies		.48
Johansen (2007)	5.0	49	280	17.5	ROC	.72	.82	148	280	52.8	ROC	.66	.58
Langton (2003)	5.9	119	468	25.4	ROC	.64	.51	181	468	38.7	ROC	.65	.54
Looman et al. (2005)	6.9	113	362	31.2	ROC	.59	.32	186	362	51.4	ROC	.61	.40

Marghem (2007)	5.7							21	103	20.4	ROC	.62	.43
McGrath et al. (2001)	5.0	62	172	36.0	ROC	.69	.71						
Milton (2003)	9.8							61	104	58.6	Correlation	.24	.50
Morton (2003)	5.7	26	80	32.5	ROC	.59	.34	41	80	51.2	Raw data		.31
Nicholaichuk (2001)	7.2	129	363	35.5	Frequencies		.11						
Nunes et al. (2002)	7.4	38	258	14.7	ROC	.69	.70						
Rettenberger & Eher (2006)	7.7	28	81	34.6	ROC	.76	1.00	42	81	51.8	ROC	.74	.91
Seager et al. (2004)	2.0	34	146	23.3	Frequencies		.03						
Song & Lieb (1994)	4.6	77	590	13.0	Raw data		.43	154	590	26.1	Raw data		.47
Stadtland et al. (2005)	9.0	44	134	32.8	ROC	.71	.78	77	134	57.5	ROC	.73	.88
Stalans et al. (2002)	4.5	114	478	23.8	Significance		.42	206	478	43.1	Significance		.32
Ternowski (2004)	7.5	45	266	16.9	ROC	.72	.82	58	266	21.8	ROC	.74	.91
Wilcox et al. (2008)	6.8	8	27	29.6	Raw data		.32	11	27	40.7	Raw data		.00
