

To be read in conjunction in Annotated Bibliography of Static-99 Replications, and the Static-99 Replications Descriptive Information Table. In some studies, several effect sizes were reported. This table summarizes the most inclusive effect sizes (e.g., full sample, re-arrests instead of reconvictions).

Static-99 Replications: Sexual Recidivism Effect Sizes

Study	Average Follow-Up (Years)	N Recidivists	Total N	Base Rate (%)	Statistic Reported	Effect Size	<i>d</i>
Allan et al. (2006)	9.2	50	144	34.7	ROC	.78	1.09
Allan et al. (2007)	5.8	49	495	9.9	ROC	.72	.82
Bartosh et al. (2007)	4.0	22	186	11.8	ROC	.64	.49
Beech (2005)	3.0	7	77	9.1	ROC	.87	1.59
Beech et al. (2002)		8	53	15.1	ROC	.77	1.05
Bengtson & Långström (2007)	16.4	115	336	34.2	Means (SD)		.40
Bigras (2007)	4.5	28	583	4.8	ROC	.70	.74
Boer (2003)	12.6	26	299	8.7	ROC	.73	.87
Bonta & Yessine (2005)	3.6	17	121	14.0	Raw data		.37
Bright et al. (2007)	3.9	10	117	8.5	Means (SD)		.89

Brown (2003)	6.0	47	207	22.7	Correlation	.13	.30
Caperton (2005)	2.0	36	1,941	1.8	ROC	.62	.43
Cortoni & Nunes (2007)	2.0	10	484	2.1	ROC	.72	.82
Craig et al. (2006)	5.0	10	85	11.8	ROC	.59	.32
Craissati et al. (2008)	9.2	25	218	11.5	Frequencies		.79
Craissati et al. (2005)	1.4	7	231	3.0	Frequencies		.73
de Vogel et al. (2004)	11.7	47	121	38.8	ROC	.71	.78
Ducro & Pham (2006)	4.2	37	147	25.2	ROC	.66	.58
Dufresne (2005)	3.7	13	185	7.0	Significance		.48
Endrass et al. (in press)	5.0	10	69	14.5	ROC	.76	.99
Epperson (2003) 1	10.8	19	271	7.0	ROC	.78	1.09
Epperson (2003) 2	10.8	29	182	15.9	ROC	.75	.95
Friendship et al. (2003)	4.8	71	2,557	2.8	ROC	.70	.72
Haag (2005)	7.0	50	198	25.2	ROC	.66	.58
Hanson (2002)	2.7	16	177	9.0	Raw data		.21
Hanson et al. (2007)	3.4	70	972	7.2	ROC	.74	.91

Harris et al. (2003)	5.2	104	396	26.3	ROC	.62	.43
Hill et al. (in press)	10.7	22	90	24.4	Frequencies		.53
Hood et al. (2002)	4.0	7	144	4.9	Frequencies		1.11
Johansen (2007)	5.0	19	280	6.8	ROC	.74	.91
Kropp (2000)	5.1	15	53	28.3	Correlation	.50	.70
Långström (2004)	4.7	76	1,303	5.8	ROC	.75	.95
Langton (2003)	5.9	53	468	11.3	ROC	.63	.47
Looman et al. (2005) in Helmus (2007)	6.9	42	362	11.6	ROC	.60	.36
Marghem (2007)	5.7	6	103	5.8	ROC	.67	.62
McGrath et al. (2001)	5.0	38	172	22.1	ROC	.70	.74
Milton (2003)	9.8	24	104	23.1	ROC	.75	.95
Montana & Thompson (2005)		21	304	6.9	Frequencies		.70
Morton (2003)	5.7	13	80	16.2	Raw data		.48
Nicholaichuk (2001)	7.2	92	363	25.3	Frequencies		.57
Nunes et al. (2002)	7.4	23	258	8.9	ROC	.70	.74

Poole et al. (2000)	4.0	4	49	8.2	Frequencies		2.12
Rettenberger & Eher (2006)	7.7	21	81	25.9	ROC	.74	.91
Saum (2007)		62	175	35.4	Means (SD)		.85
Skelton et al. (2006) 1	10.0	40	453	8.8	ROC	.78	1.09
Skelton et al. (2006) 2	10.0	62	390	15.9	ROC	.75	.95
Skelton et al. (2006) 3	10.0	50	251	19.9	ROC	.70	.74
Song & Lieb (1994)	4.6	43	590	7.3	Raw data		.40
Soothill et al. (2005)	15.0	35	219	16.0	Frequencies		1.22
Stadtland et al. (2005)	9.0	37	134	27.6	ROC	.66	.59
Stalans et al. (2002)	4.5	83	478	17.4	Significance		.31
Ternowski (2004)	7.5	21	266	7.9	ROC	.72	.82
Thornton (2000)	2.0	4	110	3.6	ROC	.85	1.47
Thornton (2002)	3.2	7	117	6.0	ROC	.92	1.99
Thornton & Knight (2006)	5.0	70	377	18.6	Means (SD)		.74
Tough (2001)	6.0	12	76	15.8	Means (SD)		.22

Watanabe et al. (2007)	14.4	104	406	25.6	ROC	.62	.45
Wilcox et al. (2008)	6.8	8	27	29.6	Raw data		.32
Williams (2007)		35	661	5.3	Correlation	.10	.44
Wilson et al. (2007a) 1	2.8	1	47	2.1	ROC	.80	1.18
Wilson et al. (2007a) 2	2.8	6	47	12.8	ROC	.41	-.25
Wilson et al. (2007b) 1	4.5	3	60	5.0	ROC	.71	.78
Wilson et al. (2007b) 2	4.5	10	60	16.7	ROC	.58	.29
